

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,091	02/04/2004	Kwan-Hee Lee	P56964	1955
75	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bushnell			ROY, SIKHA	
Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-1202			2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A
-	4

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20060610
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 12-20 are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.		
Disposition of Claims			
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) □ To 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final.	tters prosecution as to the m	parite ie
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	9SS
	Sikha Roy	2879	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	10/771,091	LEE ET AL.	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,091

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 12-20 in the reply filed on March 30, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) (Remarks page 9, first and second paragraph) that (1) there is no demarcation between the subject matter of pending claims and classes 313 and 445 and (2) subclasses within process class 445 are generally defined by structural elements as are the subclass within class 313. The examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that claims referring to process or method of manufacturing a device are examined for limitations reciting the process or method steps and hence subclasses under process of manufacturing devices 445 are searched.

Furthermore the traversal is on the ground(s) (Remarks page 10 paragraphs 2,3) that there would not be a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because two groups would require divergent searches as evidenced by their different classification. Hence the requirement is deemed proper.

Claims 1-11 of Group I are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Group I. Regarding method claims 9 and 11 (which the Applicant considers as linking claim), the examiner objects and submits that claim 9 depending on claim 1 (and claim 11 depending on claim 10) further limits the structure of organic electroluminescent display device and hence are device claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,091

Art Unit: 2879

After further review the pending elected method claims 12-20 need further restriction. The method claims 12-20 contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species I (claims 12-14) directed to first embodiment (paragraphs [0043] – [0052] Figs. 3A to 3E.

Species II (claims 15-17) directed to second embodiment (paragraphs [0053] – [0057] Figs. 4A to 4D.

Species III (claims 18-20) directed to third embodiment (paragraphs [0058] – [0063] Figs. 5A to 5D.

Even though the inventions are classified together (class 445/ subclass 24) each invention can be shown to have formed a separate subject for inventive effort and there would be serious burden on the Examiner and hence restriction requirement is proper.

U.S. Patent 6,541,130 to Fukuda (JP 2000-323277 A of record) discloses (column 7 lines 6-25 Fig. 8) the method of forming organic electroluminescent device forming each anode electrode 3 (B, G, R) by patterning ITO transparent layers into electrodes and then other layer stacked separately on each anode electrode. This method corresponds to the inventive effort of Species I (claim 12). U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0234608 to Lee et al. discloses forming the bilayer structure of anode disposing sequentially anode materials on each other corresponding to inventive effort of Species II (claim 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,091

Art Unit: 2879

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions



Art Unit: 2879

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sikha Roy

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879